



Measuring Up . . . or Not:
Helping AIMS help you understand the performance of
your municipal government in Nova Scotia

AIMS Interim Municipal Report Card for Nova Scotia



**By Holly Chisholm
& Ian Munro**

Series Editor – Charles Cirtwill

June 2008

Atlantic Institute for Market Studies

The Atlantic Institute for Market Studies (AIMS) is an independent, non-partisan, social and economic policy think tank based in Halifax. The Institute was founded by a group of Atlantic Canadians to broaden the debate about the realistic options available to build our economy.

AIMS was incorporated as a non-profit corporation under Part II of the *Canada Corporations Act* and was granted charitable registration by Revenue Canada as of October 3, 1994; it received US charitable recognition under 501(c)(3) effective the same date.

The Institute's chief objectives include:

- a) initiating and conducting research identifying current and emerging economic and public policy issues facing Atlantic Canadians and Canadians more generally, including research into the economic and social characteristics and potentials of Atlantic Canada and its four constituent provinces;
- b) investigating and analyzing the full range of options for public and private sector responses to the issues identified and acting as a catalyst for informed debate on those options, with a particular focus on strategies for overcoming Atlantic Canada's economic challenges in terms of regional disparities;
- c) communicating the conclusions of its research to a regional and national audience in a clear, non-partisan way; and
- d) sponsoring or organizing conferences, meetings, seminars, lectures, training programs, and publications, using all media of communication (including, without restriction, the electronic media) for the purpose of achieving these objectives.

Board of Directors

Chair: John F. Irving; *Vice-Chairs:* Peter C. Godsoe, Dianne Kelderman

Chairman Emeritus: Purdy Crawford

Directors: George Bishop, George T.H. Cooper, Brian Lee Crowley; Jim Dinning, J. Colin Dodds, Doug Hall, Frederick E. Hyndman, Phillip R. Knoll, Earl Ludlow, Martin MacKinnon, G. Peter Marshall, John T. McLennan, Norman Miller, Don Mills, Perry Newman, Andrew Oland, Derrick H. Rowe, Jacquelyn Thayer Scott, Vaughn Sturgeon, Heather Tulk, Peter Vigue

President: Brian Lee Crowley

Advisory Council

Angus A. Bruneau, R.B. Cameron, Purdy Crawford, Ivan E.H. Duvar, James Gogan, Bernard Imbeault, Colin Latham, Denis Losier, Hon. Peter Lougheed, David McD. Mann, James W. Moir Jr., James S. Palmer, Gerald L. Pond, John Risley, Cedric E. Ritchie, Joseph Shannon, Allan C. Shaw, Paul Sobey

Board of Research Advisors

Chair: Professor Robin F. Neill, University of Prince Edward Island

Isabel B. Anderson; Professor Charles S. Colgan, Edmund S. Muskie School of Public Service, University of Southern Maine; Professor Doug May, Memorial University of Newfoundland; Professor James D. McNiven, Dalhousie University; Professor Robert A. Mundell, Nobel Laureate in Economics, 1999

2000 Barrington Street, Suite 1302, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3K1
Telephone: (902) 429-1143; fax: (902) 425-1393
E-mail: aims@aims.ca; Web site: www.aims.ca

**MEASURING UP OR NOT:
HELPING AIMS HELP YOU UNDERSTAND THE
PERFORMANCE OF YOUR MUNICIPAL
GOVERNMENT IN NOVA SCOTIA**

HOLLY CHISHOLM
IAN MUNRO

SERIES EDITOR – CHARLES CIRTWILL

Atlantic Institute for Market Studies
Halifax, Nova Scotia
June 2008

© 2008 Atlantic Institute for Market Studies

Published by the Atlantic Institute for Market Studies
2000 Barrington Street, Suite 1302
Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3K1

Telephone: (902) 429-1143
Fax: (902) 425-1393
E-mail: aims@aims.ca
Web site: www.aims.ca

The authors of this report worked independently and are solely responsible for the views presented here. The opinions are not necessarily those of the Atlantic Institute for Market Studies, its Directors, or Supporters.

This research was made possible in part due to the support of the Nova Scotia Chambers of Commerce.

CONTENTS

About the Authors.....	iv
Introduction.....	1
Why a Municipal Report?	3
What is Known?	5
Conclusion	9
Technical Appendix	11

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Holly Chisholm is a Policy Analyst with the Atlantic Institute for Market Studies. She holds a BA in Economics from Dalhousie University and an MSc with Distinction in International Strategy and Economics from the University of St. Andrews.

Ian Munro is the Director of Research with the Atlantic Institute for Market Studies. Ian received a BA in economics from Mount Allison University, an MA in public administration from Carleton University, and an MA in economics from Dalhousie University.

Charles Cirtwill is the Executive Vice President with the Atlantic Institute for Market Studies. He attended Dalhousie University, earning a BA in Political Science, an LLB, and an MPA with a focus on quantitative analysis and program outcome assessment

INTRODUCTION

Springtime can be a mixed blessing in Nova Scotia: everyone loves the return of the robins and the tulips, but among those bright spots come, all too often, the gloom and disappointment of cold, grey days when winter just won't seem to let go. Another all too often passage of spring is the shock, despair, and disbelief that comes in the form of property tax bills, which always seem to be higher than last year, and new potholes, which always seem to be much bigger than last year.

But how bad (or good) is it really? Are things better or worse than last year? And how good a job is your municipality doing in keeping taxes low and service quality high as compared to other Nova Scotia municipalities?

AIMS is embarking on a new project to generate answers to these questions, and Nova Scotians are invited to help us understand how well roads, sewers, and garbage collection are managed where you live, and how Amherst compares to Yarmouth or how Victoria County compares to Annapolis County.

This is AIMS' second Interim Municipal Report Card, this one is for Nova Scotia (a report was released for New Brunswick last month). This interim report card does not give ranks and grades for all 55 municipalities in Nova Scotia. However, it does give all Nova Scotians one-stop access to a range of information about where they live, and about how the "other half" lives.

This "interim" Report Card invites public feedback on how the data should be combined to grade municipal performance overall. It also marks the next step in AIMS' efforts to publicize its standing invitation to all interested parties to suggest other measures and other data sources. As with the New Brunswick report, the information in the Interim Municipal Report Card for Nova Scotia is based on provincial data that are publicly available. The statistics and data are not AIMS' numbers, but rather the material collected and published by government.

The first Nova Scotia Municipal Report Card, which we expect to publish within a few months, will allow for comparison of all Nova Scotia municipalities across a range of factors, including: governance; taxation; police and fire services; transportation; water, sewage, and waste disposal; economic development; and recreation and culture.

By making available, in a single document, data that are comparable, comprehensive, and easily understood, we aim to provide Nova Scotia citizens with a better sense of how well their municipalities are doing. Are they providing the services that are important to you? Are they making good use of your tax dollars? Putting more information in peoples' hands will help to

make municipal governments more accountable. Also, identifying data that are *not* available should provide impetus for the provision of more and better information in the future.

Recognizing that there are differences in the circumstances faced by different communities – larger municipalities like Halifax Regional Municipality (HRM) and Cape Breton Regional Municipality (CBRM) face different types of challenges than do smaller municipalities like Lockeport and Parrsboro, for example – the Municipal Report Card will present a set of statistics that are adjusted for demographic and socio-economic factors so as to provide a more apples-to-apples comparison of municipal performance.

Municipalities' choices in providing services and setting tax rates are influenced by many factors beyond their immediate control. One town may have a highly educated workforce, high average incomes, and a local economy based on a sector enjoying a boom, for example, while another town may be characterized by high unemployment, low incomes and industries in decline. Our goal in making adjustments is to focus on and compare municipalities' performances "given the cards they've been dealt."

An interim step in this process, however, is to take everything Nova Scotia municipalities say about themselves and put it all in one place. We then can have an informed conversation about what we know, what we do not know and how to fairly yet clearly assess comparative municipal performance. The purpose of this Interim Municipal Report Card is to do just that.

We at AIMS have three goals in mind with this Interim Municipal Report Card:

1. lay out the objectives of our Municipal Report Card project;
2. present the basic data that are available to us and that will be used to generate the results of the full report card in the coming weeks – note that in this Interim Municipal Report Card we are not assigning any form of grades or making any judgements regarding municipal performance; and,
3. seek advice and feedback on our approach as we progress towards the first full Municipal Report Card.

Why a Municipal Report?

Making performance data publicly available helps municipalities focus on what is important: the quality of services provided to citizens and the care with which tax dollars are spent. More information means better opportunities for public scrutiny and increased accountability. However, simply making data available is not enough, and that is why AIMS is applying its Report Card approach to the subject of municipal performance. Report card formats are familiar and easily understood. Simply put, when the goal is to get useful and meaningful information into the public's hands, they work.

This type of municipal government performance measurement has been conducted elsewhere in Canada and around the world for many years.

For example, in Ontario, municipalities are required to collect data to measure their performance in twelve core municipal service areas. As noted on the website of the Ontario Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP),¹ “[m]unicipalities report performance measures for services they are responsible for providing. MPMP efficiency measures are based on operating costs for broad service areas. Effectiveness measures provide balance by measuring service delivery quality.”

As another example, in New Zealand 30 municipalities subscribe to a measurement service called “Base Stats with Trendz”² that assesses an individual municipality's vital statistics on a customised comparative basis.

In Scotland, the Accounts Commission (under Audit Scotland) specifies information that municipalities must publish about their performance.³ The Commission then publishes profiles that compare the performance of municipalities over time and across a range of services.

The Nova Scotia government does indeed compile and report a variety of statistics on the province's municipalities,^{4, 5} but as discussed further below, within this large volume of data there is very little that consistently sheds light on how effective municipalities are in delivering services.

AIMS' work in developing the Nova Scotia Municipal Report Card has been informed not only by similar initiatives in other jurisdictions, but also by the experience gained in our other endeavours in measuring public sector output and effectiveness, most notably our annual

¹ <http://www.mah.gov.on.ca/Page297.aspx>

² <http://www.kauriglen.co.nz/larry/basestats/index.htm>

³ <http://www.audit-scotland.gov.uk/performance/>

⁴ <http://www.gov.ns.ca/snsmr/muns/indicators/public/default.asp>

⁵ http://www.gov.ns.ca/snsmr/publications/prodtype.asp?PT_ID=2833&strPageHistory=cat

Atlantic Canadian High School Report Card project.⁶ The High School Report Card methodology is based on a “grade against expectations” approach in which raw data are adjusted to develop expected levels for schools in similar circumstances; this approach accounts for differences in context that are beyond the control of the individual schools and generates something much closer to a true apples-to-apples comparison. A similar approach will be taken with the Municipal Report Card.

We also recognize that municipal services vary from community to community according to what is demanded by residents. In the context of the High School Report Card, a high mark in chemistry will always be better than a low mark in chemistry. In the municipal context, however, a statistic such as the tax dollars spent by a municipality on some particular service sometimes can be ambiguous: the residents of one town may prefer to pay higher taxes and receive more of that service, while the residents of the next town may prefer to do without the service but also pay less in taxes. In this case there is no better or worse, just different.

⁶ The sixth annual edition was published on April 3, 2008: <http://www.aims.ca/library/RC6Insert.pdf>.

What is Known?

What Do Nova Scotians Know About Nova Scotia Municipalities?

In this section we present two aspects of data that are currently available. First, we present basic demographic and socio-economic data that provide a sense of “what each community looks like.” Second, we present statistics related to a number of municipal services.

Municipal Profile: What Your Community Looks Like

Municipal Profile Documents

- [People and Place](#)
- [Finances](#)
- [Socio-Economic Status](#)

Municipalities come in all shapes and sizes. In Nova Scotia there are three main divisions of municipal units: regional, county and district, and town.

Regional municipalities are the creation of former municipal units that have amalgamated to form a single municipal unit. Since 1995 Nova Scotia has had three:

Halifax Regional Municipality (HRM), Cape Breton Regional Municipality (CBRM) and the Regional Municipality of Queens County (RMQC).

County and district municipalities are found in rural Nova Scotia and exclude towns within these areas; for example, within the geographic boundaries of Antigonish County there are two municipalities: 1) the Town of Antigonish and 2) the Municipality of Antigonish, which captures everything within the County of Antigonish *except for* the Town of Antigonish. As another example, within Hants County there are four municipalities, two of which are rural municipalities – the Municipality of East Hants and the Municipality of West Hants – and two of which are towns – Hantsport and Windsor.

The *County Incorporation Act of 1879* established municipal government, creating 24 rural municipalities to ensure that every part of Nova Scotia has a form of elected municipal government. Today there are 21 rural municipalities in Nova Scotia. Rural municipalities also contain incorporated villages, of which there are 22, as they are not municipalities themselves.

Finally, the remaining 31 municipalities in Nova Scotia are towns, such as Amherst, Kentville, or Canso.

As noted earlier, different municipalities face different circumstances across a wide range of variables, and these varying circumstances to some degree will influence, if not constrain, the policy, spending and taxation choices that municipal governments will make.

For example, a municipality that receives heavy snowfall each year will have to spend more on snow removal from streets and roads than will a municipality that tends to receive mostly rain in the winter. A municipality with a high proportion of senior citizens in its population likely will provide a different mix of recreational and cultural services as compared to a municipality that has a high proportion of children and young adults. A municipality with a population composed largely of well-educated and affluent citizens may have different priorities for policing than will a municipality that suffers more from the types of social problems that are associated with crime.

In presenting the data in this Interim Municipal Report Card, we start with an overview of what each municipality looks like in terms of basic demographic, geographic, financial and socio-economic data: how many people live there? how large is the municipality physically? how much money does the municipal government have to work with? how well educated is the municipality's population? These data will be used as the "control variables" in our full Municipal Report Card to make the adjustments necessary to account for the differences in municipalities' starting contexts and thus provide a basis for more apples-to-apples comparisons.

These data are presented in three short documents that include tables as well as brief discussions of what the numbers show.

Municipal Performance: What Have They Done for (or to) You Lately?

AIMS has strived to identify those basic services that generally are provided in all municipalities across the province. These services are categorized and presented in a simple format that generally follows the breakdowns used in municipal budgets:

- Governance
- Taxation
- Safety and Protection (police and fire)
- Transportation
- Environmental Health (drinking water, solid waste disposal and wastewater)
- Economic Development (including planning and zoning)
- Recreation and Culture

For each category AIMS has identified different indicators that measure both the *efficiency* and the *effectiveness* of the municipality in providing the service.

Efficiency measures focus on the amount of resources required to produce a unit of output. For example, if one municipality can maintain its streets and roads each year for \$100/kilometre and another municipality can maintain its streets and roads to a comparable level of repair for \$50/kilometre, than the second municipality is more efficient at this task.

Effectiveness measures focus on the extent to which a service or policy is achieving intended results. If, for example, two municipalities share the objective of issuing a building permit within 24 hours of an application and one municipality meets this objective 95 percent of the time while the other municipality meets this objective only 60 percent of the time, then the first municipality is more effective on this front.

Once again, the data are presented in short documents that include tables and brief discussions of what the numbers show.

Municipal Performance Documents

- [Governance](#)
- [Taxation](#)
- [Safety and Protection \(police and fire\)](#)
- [Transportation](#)
- [Environmental Health \(drinking water, solid waste disposal and wastewater\)](#)
- [Economic Development \(including planning and zoning\)](#)
- [Recreation and Culture](#)

More detailed descriptions of the data and calculations are provided in the [*Interim Municipal Report Card Technical Appendix*](#).

At this point we do not have data for all our chosen measures. We have selected certain measures because they speak to things that matter to people: citizens care about the safety and health of their communities, the quality of their basic infrastructure and services, and the way in which public officials manage their tax dollars. In addition to this relevance criterion, variables have been selected because experience elsewhere has shown that they can be measured objectively and reported in a meaningful manner. To the extent that data for these measures are not available now, we hope that by highlighting the absence here, citizens will be spurred to demand more and governments will be spurred to do better.

To avoid having an abnormal fluctuation in one year unduly influence the calculations, three-year averages (2004 through 2006) generally are used. For each measure, the provincial average, minimum and maximum also are reported. Each municipality's rank for each indicator is provided as well. Note that the rankings are provided simply to aid readers in understanding where their communities sit in relation to others. Once we have completed the work of developing context-adjusted measures, grades and scores will be provided in the full Municipal Report Card.

Invitation for Feedback and Comments

We continue to examine possibilities for other important service metrics and factors that would be beyond the immediate control of municipalities but that also would affect their policy, service, and taxation choices.

The choice of any such additional service measure or control variable will depend upon several things: it of course would have to be relevant; it would have to be amenable to quantitative measurement; and actual data would have to be available. We issue an invitation to the public for feedback and comments, with the caveat that any proposed new variable will have to meet this three-part test.

We expect that over time our Municipal Report Card data set will evolve as, we hope, additional information becomes available and as further analysis leads to the development of new measurement approaches. (As a parallel example, after six years of experience with our *High School Report Card*, we continue to make refinements, such as the possible inclusion of a new “grade inflation” measure in future editions.)

Our next steps in this project will be to: accumulate, review, and report on the feedback we receive in response to this Interim Municipal Report Card; finalize the choices of measures and data points; conduct the quantitative analysis that will generate the final absolute measures of municipal performance, the final contextually-adjusted measures of municipal performance, and the grades that we will assign to all municipalities; and prepare the full Nova Scotia Municipal Report Card document, with an expected publication date of September 2008.

We look forward to timely and constructive comments and suggestions on the indicators, data, and methodologies that will go into our Municipal Report Card: this is your chance to help us in ensuring that the best possible information goes into and comes out of the process.

Conclusion

Closing Observations: What We Have Learned So Far

In all walks of life, the promise of greater scrutiny is generally met with some degree of unease by those who will be scrutinized. Our Municipal Report Card project is no exception in this regard. Despite the opposition and doubt expressed by some, though, we are pleased to see an attitude of openness and enthusiasm about this process from many Nova Scotia municipalities.

Turning to the data themselves, there are some general observations that can be drawn from what we have collected to date and presented here.

There are wide variances on many of the variables (population, population density, area, unemployment rate, percentage of population with high status occupations, etc.) included in the Municipal Profile documents. This is not surprising given that among the 55 municipalities there are large centres with multifaceted economies, rural municipalities with widely dispersed populations, and intermediate to very small towns that perhaps are based on a single industry (and perhaps struggling because of it).

Looking at many of the operating cost/efficiency measures, it is interesting that different sizes of communities can be found at either end of the rankings, but, generally speaking, the rural municipalities often are at the lower-cost-per-capita end of the spectrum. It must be repeated though, that these data have not been adjusted for context or controlled for the level of service provided. It may be that urban and town residents simply demand a higher level of services and are prepared to pay the price for it. This high cost grouping also may just reflect a market reality that prices for some things (like labour) tend to be higher in larger towns and cities. As another alternative, it may suggest that urban and town councils are willing to pay more for things because they have more money to spend.

While we can compare how much it costs to deliver services, it is remarkably difficult in Nova Scotia to determine what citizens get for their money. In contrast, for example, Ontarians enjoy easy access to a comprehensive set of effectiveness measures that allow them to assess their municipal governments' performances in diverse areas such as crime rates, road quality, speed of snow removal, sewer backups, boil water advisories, and provision of recreational services. If Nova Scotia wants truly informed judgement on municipal performance, these types of data must be collected and reported.

As AIMS moves towards its first full Nova Scotia Municipal Report Card later this year we will be trying to shrink – at least a little bit – that information gap. We hope that Nova Scotia municipalities will join us in attempting to find new and better ways to get meaningful information into the hands of Nova Scotians. We hope as well that this Interim Municipal ReportCard will stimulate discussion, and we look forward to feedback from interested readers.

Technical Appendix

This appendix describes the basic data that have been collected and their sources. As well, details are provided here on the calculations performed with those basic data to generate the results that are displayed in the [Interim Municipal Report Card for Nova Scotia](#).

General Notes

The basic demographic and socio-economic data that we use come from the 2006 Census. Since the Census occurs every five years, 2006 is the most recent available.

Most data come from Service Nova Scotia Municipal Relations publications *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics*⁷ and the *Municipal Indicators*⁸ and here we generally use three-year averages – 2004 to 2006 – to minimize the impact that an abnormal result in a given year may have on the results. In certain cases data are not available for all three years: where data for two years are available, we use a two-year average; we do not report any value for a municipality where we have information for only a single year. Each column heading indicates the year or years for which data are used in the calculations.

All tables are presented in alphabetical order, but ranks are provided for all measures. The rank order varies between high-to-low and low-to-high; for each indicator we chose the rank order that we felt would be most intuitive to readers. For all values that are reported as “per capita” or “per dwelling”, Census figures are used as the denominator for the corresponding year.⁹ Data from the *Municipal Indicators* is based on population counts from the Nova Scotia Department of Finance, other denominator counts are provided to Service Nova Scotia Municipal Relations from the individual municipality.

There are three types of municipalities in Nova Scotia, regional municipalities (3), rural municipalities (21), and towns (31). There are 22 incorporated villages in Nova Scotia; these are the responsibility of rural municipalities and are not considered municipalities themselves.

⁷http://www.gov.ns.ca/snsmr/publications/product.asp?numRecordPosition=3&P_ID=3825&strPageHistory=cat&strKeywords=&SearchFor=&PT_ID=2833

⁸ <http://www.gov.ns.ca/snsmr/muns/indicators/public/default.asp>

⁹ 2006 costs per dwelling from the 2006 census and 2004 costs per dwelling from the 2001 census .

Municipal Profile

People and Place

The population, population density (persons per square kilometre), and area (in square kilometres) figures for each municipality come from Statistics Canada's 2006 Community Profiles,¹⁰ which are based on the 2006 Census.

The age profile is a simple breakdown of the proportion of the population belonging to three age groups: 0-14 years (the young), 15 to 64 years (working age), and 65 years and over (seniors). These data also come from the 2006 Community Profiles.

We have been unable to access data for Nova Scotia on the length of streets and roads for which each municipality is responsible.

Finances

The total revenue figure identifies how much money each municipality receives from taxes, transfers from other governments, and the sale of services, which includes individual user fees for skating rinks, swimming pools, etc., business user fees for services such as building permits, and compensation for services that a municipality may provide to another municipality or to the Province. Separate figures for transfers and grants and for sales of services also are provided.

The outstanding debt figure is based on the municipality's long term debt, defined as a loan(s) with a maturity of longer than one year. It should be kept in mind that such debt can be inherited by a municipal administration from a previous one and/or passed on to a future administration.

The uniform assessment¹¹ is the market value of all property in a municipality and is determined on an annual basis. The total is provided by adding the residential and the non-residential assessments. We provide information on the non-residential assessment as well as the total in order to give a sense of the extent to which municipalities rely on business property taxes, as opposed to residential ones. The non-residential assessment information provided in the tables has not been adjusted for special legislation and charitable deductions that a municipality may grant.

Assessment information is collected by the Property Valuation Service Corporation,¹² a group owned and managed by the municipalities. The information is compiled in an assessment roll and then given to the municipalities to determine their tax rates. These assessments are used to determine the amount of a municipality's provincial grant. These grants are to fund expenditures on services such as roads, hospitals, and schools. Additionally, many provincial programs use the assessment values to establish how much to spend on cost-shared programs.

¹⁰ <http://www12.statcan.ca/english/census06/data/profiles/community/Index.cfm?Lang=E>

¹¹ http://gov.ns.ca/snsmr/muns/fin/uniform_assessment.asp

¹² <http://www.pvsc.ca/>

These revenue, debt, and assessment figures come from the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics* and the *Municipal Indicators*, both published by Service Nova Scotia Municipal Relations.

Socio-Economic Status

Our socio-economic status (SES) indicators cover a range of subjects, including age profile, employment, education, income, housing, and family structure.

Indicators such as the percentage of adults without a high school diploma and the percentage of families that are single-parent families are self-explanatory.

The dependency ratio is calculated as the sum of the population below 15 years of age and the population aged 65 and older, divided by the total population. It is an indicator of the percentage of the population that typically is not in the labour force, and who therefore may be economically dependent on those aged 15 to 64 who are in the labour force.

The employment rate is the percentage of the population aged 15 and older that is employed. The labour force participation rate is calculated as the number of people in the labour force divided by the total population aged 15 and older. The unemployment rate is calculated as the number of people who are unemployed divided by the number of people in the labour force. The percentage of adults in high status occupations is determined by adding the number of people working in the following categories:

- management
- business and finance
- natural and applied sciences
- health science
- social science, education and government
- art, culture and recreation

and then dividing the total by the labour force.

In the education indicators, post secondary education includes universities, colleges, and trade schools.

Our income indicator is the median household income (pre tax). For each municipality, this is the household income level at which exactly half the municipality's households have a higher income and half the municipality's households have a lower income.

There are two housing indicators: the average residential property value for each municipality and the median monthly cost for rented accommodations (rent and costs of electricity, heat, and municipal services paid by tenant households) for each municipality.

All SES data come from the 2006 Census.

Municipal Performance

Our performance indicators include a mix of efficiency measures and effectiveness measures. Efficiency measures focus on the amount of resources (e.g., money) required to produce a unit of output (e.g., maintenance for a kilometre of road). Effectiveness measures focus on the extent to which a service or policy is achieving intended results (e.g., the percentage of time that a standard is met).

Governance

The governance category captures the basic operations of “city hall” (or town hall, county office, etc., as the case may be).

To date we have been unable to find comprehensive data for the number of staff employed by each municipality.

The municipal councillors indicator is calculated by taking each municipality’s population and dividing by the number of members on its council to provide a sense of the number of people “served” by each councillor. No adjustments have been made for the differences that may exist among councils in terms of full-time/part-time responsibilities, frequency of council meetings, etc.

Legislative operating costs cover council members’ salaries and record keeping. Administrative operating costs include staff salaries and the basic day-to-day costs – electricity, supplies, etc. – of managing the municipality and its finances. Both figures measure the efficiency of council and administration. These figures are obtained from the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics* and are provided on a per capita basis.

Taxation

The average residential tax burden is calculated by dividing each municipality’s total residential property tax revenue by the number of dwellings in the municipality.

We obtained the percentage of each municipality’s total property tax assessment that is commercial, which provides a sense of the municipality’s ability to draw on businesses as a source of property tax revenue.

The indicator for municipal debt as a percentage of total property tax assessment is calculated by dividing the municipality’s outstanding long-term debt by the total property tax assessment figure. This measure gives a sense of the municipality’s debt burden in relation to its ability to raise the revenue needed to pay down that debt.

User fees include fees charged to individuals for the use of skating rinks, swimming pools, etc., and fees charged to businesses for things like building permits. The own-source revenue figure

excludes revenues that come from other governments as grants or transfers. This statistic gives an indication of the extent to which a municipality raises revenues from non-tax sources and also provides some sense of how much the “user-pay” criterion is applied in the municipality. The available data do not clearly distinguish within the sale of service categories the amounts generated by user fees, therefore, at this time we are unable to provide a measure.

These tax data come from the *Municipal Indicators* and the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics*.

Safety and Protection

The fire and police operating cost indicators take the reported operating costs for those items for each municipality and divide by, respectively, the number of dwellings in the municipality (because firefighting is generally associated with homes) and the number of people in the municipality (because police protection is more associated with individual persons).

In addition to fire prevention and suppression, some fire departments also provide emergency services (e.g., search and rescue, water rescue, medical services, etc.).

The fire data have been collected from the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics* and police data have been collected from the *Municipal Statistics*.

Recent data on total monetary loss due to fire are not available from the Nova Scotia Office of the Fire Marshal.

The crime rate per 1000 population, which includes violent and property crimes as well as other *Criminal Code* offences (e.g., prostitution) but excludes traffic incidents, is collected from Statistics Canada’s Canadian Centre for Justice Statistics.¹³

Transportation

Transportation operating costs cover several items. A municipality owns all the streets and roads (with the exception of the bridges in the Halifax-Dartmouth Bridge Commission) within its boundaries and is responsible for maintenance and snow removal. Until 1998, the rural municipalities did not own any streets or roads. At that time the Province and the municipalities reallocated responsibilities for services. The rural municipalities were then responsible for all streets constructed after 1998. Roads and streets that have been constructed after 1998 are either municipal streets or private roads.

The transportation category also includes the provision and maintenance of sidewalks and street lighting. As well, the cost figures we have obtained include the cost of public transit for those municipalities that provide this service (e.g., CBRM and HRM). Ideally the cost of mass public transit would be separated out so that all municipalities are being measured on the same basket of

¹³ <http://www.statcan.ca/bsolc/english/bsolc?catno=85F0033M&CHROPG=1>

services, but at this time we do not have access to data that allows for such a calculation. The data provided in the Province's *Municipal Indicators* for transportation operating costs use the length of roads in each municipality as the basis for comparison.

We were unable to find datasets for road conditions and complaints about road conditions.

Environmental Health

The environmental health category covers the provision of drinking water and the management of solid waste and wastewater.

The drinking water efficiency indicator is the total operating cost for each municipality for source of supply, pumping, water treatment, transmission and distribution, etc. divided by the millions of litres of water treated. The effectiveness measures for drinking water are the number of boil water advisories issued by Nova Scotia Environment and the percentage of water tests that have bacteria present.

The solid waste indicator is the total operating cost for each municipality in managing waste and recycling collection and disposal divided by the number of dwellings in the municipality.

The wastewater efficiency indicator is the total operating cost for each municipality in collecting and treating wastewater divided by the total kilometres of wastewater main. The effectiveness indicator is the number of wastewater main back-ups divided by the total kilometres of wastewater main.

Data come from the *Municipal Indicators* and Nova Scotia Environment.

Economic Development

The operating costs for economic development capture funds spent on a range of items such as planning and zoning, development, and natural resources. The figures used (Planning & Zoning and Community Development) come from the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics*.

Unlike in New Brunswick, where the data on building permits and the value of construction data come from the individual municipalities or regional planning commissions and are for the most part publicly available, in Nova Scotia we were not able to gain access to these data.

Recreation and Culture

Recreation operating costs cover services and facilities such as playgrounds, walking trails, rinks, and swimming pools. Cultural operating costs account for libraries, and cultural events. Data come from the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics*.

Within the Recreation and Cultural Services section of the *Annual Report of Municipal Statistics* is a third column titled "Other Recreation and Cultural Services". Information on how much each

municipality spent from this “other” category on recreation or on culture is unclear. Therefore, we have divided the figure in half and allocated one half to recreation and culture each.

We have been unable to find data for Nova Scotia municipalities for the indicators related to hard measures of recreational and cultural facilities (area for indoor recreation space, area for outdoor recreation space, length of trails, and area for indoor cultural space).



Selected Publications from the AIMS Library

Publications on Urban Policy

Contestability: The Uncontested Champion of High Performance Government by Andrea Mrozak and Don McIvar

Financing City Services: A prescription for the future by Harry Kitchen

A new Golden Rule: The three C's of Local Government by Charles Cirtwill

Traffic Congestion: The Stockholm Solution by Patrick Luciani

Organization & Opportunities: Local Government Services Production in Greater Saint John by Robert L. Bish

Books

Retreat from Growth: Atlantic Canada and the Negative-Sum Economy, by Fred McMahon

Road to Growth: How Lagging Economies Become Prosperous, by Fred McMahon

Looking the Gift Horse in the Mouth: The Impact of Federal Transfers on Atlantic Canada, by Fred McMahon (photocopies only)

Commentary Series

Technicolour Dreams and a Cold Splash of Reality: Waking up to the labour shortage and what to do about it by Charles Cirtwill

Moving On Up - The transition from poverty to prosperity by Charles Cirtwill

Locking Up the Pork Barrel: Reasoned Economic Development Takes a Back Seat to Politics at ACOA, by Brian Lee Crowley and Bruce Winchester

Following the Money Trail: Figuring Out Just How Large Subsidies to Business Are in Atlantic Canada, by David Murrell

First, Do No Harm: What Role for ACOA in AtlanticCanada? by Brian Lee Crowley

Research Reports

Having Your Say: Helping AIMS help you improve municipal government in New Brunswick by Holly Chisholm and Ian Munro

Getting the fox out of the schoolhouse: How the public can take back public education by Michael C. Zwaagstra, Rodney A. Clifton and John C. Long

AIMS' Sixth Annual Report Card on Atlantic Canadian High Schools, by Rick Audas, Charles Cirtwill and Bobby O'Keefe

Taking the Pulse: Hospital performance indicators from the patient's perspective by Julia Witt

Everybody Wins: Why Growing the Port of Halifax Matters to Moncton (. . .and Saint John, Amherst, Bangor . .) by Dr. Peter W. de Langan and Stephen Kymlicka

Private Supply, Public Benefit – the Canadian Health Care Consensus Group

Shipping Out: the Development of a Gateway Hub at the Port of Halifax, by James Frost

It Is FARMING, not Fishing: Why Bureaucrats and Environmentalists Miss the Point of Canadian Aquaculture, by Robin Neill

Casting a Cold Eye on LNG: The Real Possibilities and Pitfalls for Atlantic Canada, by Angela Tu Weissenberger

Characteristics of Tomorrow's Successful Port, by Michael Ircha

From Public U to Private U: An Atlantic Canadian Opportunity, by Kelvin Ogilvie

Fencing the Fishery: A Primer on Ending the Race for Fish (Canadian edition), by Donald R. Leal; adapted for Canadian readers by Peter Fenwick and Laura Jones

A Finger on the Pulse: Comparative Models for Reporting the Quality of Health Care, by Julia Witt



**2000 Barrington St., Suite 1302
Halifax NS B3J 3K1**

Telephone: (902) 429-1143

Facsimile: (902) 425-1393

E-mail: aims@aims.ca

Web site: www.aims.ca